



Zoetis Inc

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction	7
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?.....	7
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	7
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	7
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	7
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?	8
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	8
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	8
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	16
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	17
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	18
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities	20
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?.....	20
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	21
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?.....	21
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	22
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	29
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	29
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?.....	30
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	31
(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	32
C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities	34
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	34
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	35

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?.....	46
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?.....	60
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?.....	61
(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.	61
(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.....	61
(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.....	62
(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?	63
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	63
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.....	64

C4. Governance..... 69

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	69
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	69
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.	70
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?	73
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?	74
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	75
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?.....	85
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).....	86
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?	88
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.....	89
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?.....	92
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	92
(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?	94
(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.....	96

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?.....	97
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.....	98

C5. Business strategy 100

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	100
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?	101
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?	101
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	102
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.....	106
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?.....	107
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?	107
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	108
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	108
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?.....	109
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	110
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?	112
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	112
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.....	114
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	117

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach..... 123

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.	123
---	-----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change 124

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	124
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	124
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	124
(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2? ..	125
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.....	125

(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	125
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?	126
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.	126
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	128
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?	131
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?	131
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	132
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	138
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	139
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	139
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	144
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?	144
(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO ₂	144
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	145
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	145
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	167
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.	167
(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.	168
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	188
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.	189
(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.	189
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	244
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?	245
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	245
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	245
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	246
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.	249

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	250
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.	257
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	259
(7.30.17) Provide details of your organization’s renewable electricity purchases in the reporting year by country/area.	307
(7.30.18) Provide details of your organization’s low-carbon heat, steam, and cooling purchases in the reporting year by country/area.	313
(7.30.19) Provide details of your organization’s renewable electricity generation by country/area in the reporting year.	313
(7.30.20) Describe how your organization’s renewable electricity sourcing strategy directly or indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid in the countries/areas in which you operate.	319
(7.30.21) In the reporting year, has your organization faced barriers or challenges to sourcing renewable electricity?	319
(7.30.22) Provide details of the country/area-specific challenges to sourcing renewable electricity faced by your organization in the reporting year.	320
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	321
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	322
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?.....	324
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	324
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	328
(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.	328
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.	330
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	333
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	333
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	333
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	344
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?.....	345
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	345

C9. Environmental performance - Water security 346

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?.....	346
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?.....	346
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?.....	351

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.	354
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	355
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.	358
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	361
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.	362
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?	408
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency.	411
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	411
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?.....	412
(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?	412
(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?	412

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity..... 414

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?.....	414
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?	414
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?.....	414

C13. Further information & sign off..... 416

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?	416
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.	416
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.	416
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.	417

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Our vision is to be the most trusted and valued animal health company, shaping the future of animal care through our innovation, customer obsession and purpose-driven colleagues. For over 70 years, Zoetis has supported those who raise and care for animals—from veterinary clinics and homes to farms and ranches. We care deeply about helping pets live longer, healthier lives, and improving the health, welfare and productivity of livestock. Our leading portfolio and pipeline of medicines, vaccines, diagnostics and technologies make a difference in over 100 countries. We apply our research and development (R&D), manufacturing and technical expertise to create new and better animal health advancements that address the challenges our customers face every day.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	12/31/2024	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

9256000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?	How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Zoetis includes all wholly owned entities in its reporting boundary.

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAH69

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978V1035

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978V103

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

ZTS

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

549300HD9Q1LOC9KLJ48

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

078579659

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

SEC CIK: 0001555280

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAK98

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAU70

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAH6

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAK9

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAU7

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAL7

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAN3

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAS2

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAV5

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAP8

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAM5

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

98978VAT0

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAL71

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAN38

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAS25

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAV53

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAP85

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAM54

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US98978VAT08

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

Peru

Chile

China

Italy

Japan

Spain

- Egypt
- India
- France
- Greece
- Israel
- Jordan
- Mexico
- Austria
- Belgium
- Croatia
- Czechia
- Denmark
- Morocco
- Romania
- Ukraine
- Uruguay
- Colombia
- Viet Nam
- Argentina
- Australia
- Guatemala
- Indonesia
- Switzerland
- South Africa
- Taiwan, China
- Republic of Korea
- Russian Federation

- Brazil
- Canada
- Norway
- Panama
- Poland
- Sweden
- Turkey
- Ecuador
- Finland
- Germany
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Honduras
- Malaysia
- Pakistan
- Portugal
- Thailand
- Singapore
- Costa Rica
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- All supplier tiers known have been mapped

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Zoetis has conducted an initial value chain mapping by category of upstream suppliers and downstream consumers.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

Although at this time Zoetis has not thoroughly mapped where in our direct operations or value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of, we have committed to include sustainability considerations in all new packaging designs to ensure that our innovative, industry-leading solutions are delivered

sustainably for our customers, our communities and the planet. We are dedicated to minimizing the environmental impact of our products throughout their entire lifecycle. Our sustainable packaging strategy emphasizes innovative solutions to reduce waste and emissions, while maintaining the highest standards of product safety, quality and customer convenience.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This aligns with short-term time horizons as defined through reporting obligations and time horizons defined under our double materiality assessment process.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This aligns with medium-term time horizons defined under our double materiality assessment process.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

This aligns with long-term time horizons defined under our double materiality assessment process.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific

- Local
- Sub-national
- National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- Enterprise Risk Management
- Internal company methods

International methodologies and standards

- Environmental Impact Assessment
- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

- Desk-based research
- External consultants
- Materiality assessment
- Internal company methods
- Jurisdictional/landscape assessment
- Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Cold wave/frost
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- Drought
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Heat waves

Chronic physical

- Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- Increased severity of extreme weather events
- Water stress

Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to national legislation

Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- Impact on human health
- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- Other reputation, please specify :Inability to achieve sustainability goals.

Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators
- Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Zoetis integrates climate- and water-related risks into its Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework, overseen on a day-by-day basis by a cross-functional team of senior leaders. The company regularly identifies, assesses, and monitors risks related to climate change, natural disasters, regulatory developments, and reputational impacts. A third-party commercial property insurance provider tracks mitigation efforts at each site and recently developed a Global Site Climate Risk

Report that measures and tracks Zoetis' exposure to climate risk, climate risk quality, and climate resilience at key Zoetis facilities. Zoetis receives this report annually.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain
- End of life management

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific
- Local
- Sub-national
- National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

International methodologies and standards

- Environmental Impact Assessment
- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

- Desk-based research
- External consultants
- Internal company methods
- Materiality assessment
- Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Chronic physical

- Increased severity of extreme weather events

Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- Changing customer behavior

Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Zoetis integrates climate- and water-related risks into its Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework, overseen on a day-by-day basis by a cross-functional team of senior leaders. The company regularly identifies, assesses, and monitors risks related to climate change, natural disasters, regulatory developments, and reputational impacts. A third-party commercial property insurance provider tracks mitigation efforts at each site and recently developed a Global Site Climate Risk Report that measures and tracks Zoetis' exposure to climate risk, climate risk quality, and climate resilience at key Zoetis facilities. Zoetis receives this report annually.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

	Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed	Description of how interconnections are assessed
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Interconnections are assessed as part of the double materiality assessment process.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

Yes, we are currently in the process of identifying priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

- Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

- Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Annually, Zoetis assesses our facilities for water stress using the WRI Aqueduct platform.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative
- Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Risk ranking from ERM process that considers impact, frequency, speed of onset, and likelihood, as well as financial/non-financial measures such as revenue, operating income, market share, reputation, regulatory limitations, and key alliances.

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Zoetis integrates climate- and water-related risks into its Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework, overseen on a day-by-day basis by a cross-functional team of senior leaders. The company regularly identifies, assesses, and monitors risks related to climate change, natural disasters, regulatory developments, and reputational impacts. A third-party commercial property insurance provider tracks mitigation efforts at each site and recently developed a Global Site Climate Risk Report that measures and tracks Zoetis' exposure to climate risk, climate risk quality, and climate resilience at key Zoetis facilities. Zoetis receives this report annually.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

- Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE) program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary. These PiE efforts for manufacturing have been incorporated as standard for the development of all new Zoetis products. Additionally, APIs and veterinary medicines are reviewed for potential hazards and risks by medicines Authorities/Agencies.

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Other synthetic organic compounds

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Some of our products contain active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which are the primary ingredients in our veterinary medicines. Zoetis is committed to minimizing the environmental impact of our products and this includes actively working to mitigate the presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE). The primary source of a veterinary pharmaceutical entering the environment from our products occurs after elimination from the treated animal. On a more local scale, however, pharmaceuticals can also enter the environment at the end of the manufacturing process. APIs and veterinary medicines are reviewed for potential hazards and risks by medicines Authorities/Agencies. In addition, some of our veterinary products may also contain excipients or other additives. The potential hazards and risks of incorporating these substances into veterinary medicines are also reviewed by medicines Authorities/Agencies.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Downstream value chain

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
- Upgrading of process equipment/methods

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this

process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary. These PiE efforts for manufacturing have been incorporated as standard for the development of all new Zoetis products.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Insufficient data

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

- Other chronic physical risk, please specify :Water scarcity

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

We operate in many regions, countries and communities around the world where our business, and our activities and the activities of our customers and suppliers could be disrupted by climate change. Water scarcity implies potential water supply interruptions and low water quality. A reduction in water supply would impact our producing capabilities as our manufacturing requires ample water. In 2024, approximately 78% of our water withdrawals are within areas of low- to medium-water stress. As part of an effort to identify impactful projects in areas of high-water stress, we commenced a project at our Catania site in Italy that will enable us to minimize the amount of wastewater. These conservation measures are important as we continue to grow our business. We are continuing to explore opportunities across our manufacturing network to increase water efficiency.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Zoetis is committed to reducing the vulnerability of our sites to climate-related impacts. To assure continuity of critical business processes and to safeguard our colleagues, assets and business reputation, Zoetis maintains a Business Continuity Management (BCM) program. Our BCM methodology provides a risk-based approach to the development of Business Continuity Plans (BCP) that identify potential vulnerabilities, and document action plans to mitigate the risk. The BCP initiative includes a training program and ongoing technical support by our Global Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) team, and extends across manufacturing and R&D sites, commercial markets, supply chain and critical external suppliers. These actions include having written documented plans of action for physical impacts to our site that could be increased in frequency due to climate change, such as long-term regional blackouts. The plans document how each site should proceed in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact to our company's direct operations, value chain, and customers. The program's intent is to prepare our sites for natural hazards in order to reduce the amount of time a business function is offline due to emergency events. In addition, Zoetis is transitioning its resiliency approach by implementing all Business Continuity Plans (BCPs) within a digital environment. This enables a best-in-class continuity strategy, significantly enhancing the quality of reporting and analysis.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

Other chronic physical risk, please specify :Water scarcity

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Italy

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify :Mediterranean Sea Islands Basin

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

We operate in many regions, countries and communities around the world where our business, and our activities and the activities of our customers and suppliers could be disrupted by climate change. Water scarcity implies potential water supply interruptions and low water quality. A reduction in water supply would impact our producing capabilities as our manufacturing requires ample water. In 2024, approximately 78% of our water withdrawals are within areas of low- to medium-water stress. As part of an effort to identify impactful projects in areas of high-water stress, we commenced a project at our Catania site in Italy that will enable us to minimize the amount of wastewater. These conservation measures are important as we continue to grow our business. We are continuing to explore opportunities across our manufacturing network to increase water efficiency.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Zoetis is committed to reducing the vulnerability of our sites to climate-related impacts. To assure continuity of critical business processes and to safeguard our colleagues, assets and business reputation, Zoetis maintains a Business Continuity Management (BCM) program. Our BCM methodology provides a risk-based approach to the development of Business Continuity Plans (BCP) that identify potential vulnerabilities, and document action plans to mitigate the risk. The BCP initiative includes a training program and ongoing technical support by our Global Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) team, and extends across manufacturing and R&D sites, commercial markets, supply chain and critical external suppliers. These actions include having written documented plans of action for physical impacts to our site that could be increased in frequency due to climate change, such as long-term regional blackouts. The plans document how each site should proceed in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact to our company's direct operations, value chain, and customers. The program's intent is to prepare our sites for natural hazards in order to reduce the amount of time a business function is offline due to emergency events. In addition, Zoetis is transitioning its resiliency approach by implementing all Business Continuity Plans (BCPs) within a digital environment. This enables a best-in-class continuity strategy, significantly enhancing the quality of reporting and analysis.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

- Increased severity of extreme weather events

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Chile
- China
- Italy
- Japan
- Spain
- Australia
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Brazil
- Canada
- France
- Mexico
- Germany

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Climate change may negatively impact our customers operations, particularly those in the livestock industry, through climate-related impacts such as increased air and water temperatures, rising water levels and increased incidence of disease in livestock. If such events affect our customers' businesses, they may purchase fewer Zoetis products, and our revenues may be negatively impacted. A One Health approach recognizes that the health of animals, humans and the environment are interdependent. As part of our commitment to a healthier, more sustainable future, our scientists are using their expertise to combat diseases that pose the greatest risk to animals and humans.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

- No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

- Other policies or plans, please specify :Currently evaluating

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

A mitigation response is not yet in place, but Zoetis will consider this in future years. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

- Other acute physical risk, please specify :Increased frequency of natural disasters

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Adverse weather events and natural disasters may interfere with and negatively impact operations at our manufacturing sites, research and development facilities and office buildings, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, especially if such interruptions to regular operations are frequent or prolonged.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the event of a natural disaster, adverse weather conditions, or a shortage of fresh water, veterinarians or livestock producers may purchase less of our products and our operating results and financial condition could be materially adversely impacted.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

- No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

- Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

A) Our Business Continuity Management (BCM) addresses interruptions of supply or production due to exceptional weather events. These plans are determined and adapted by each individual Zoetis site. Plans are routinely updated and tested using tabletop exercises to validate recovery capability and identify potential process improvements. If a potential severe weather risk threatens a facility, Zoetis works to implement these plans in collaboration with our insurance providers. B) Natural hazard exposures have been added to our due diligence questionnaires that are required for Zoetis to consider for a potential acquisition. Natural hazard reviews are also conducted for select key suppliers, third-party distribution centers and contract manufacturers. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Chile
- China
- Italy
- Japan
- Brazil
- Canada
- France
- Mexico

- Spain
- Australia
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- Germany

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Emerging international, national, regional, and local regulations in response to climate changes have the potential to impact areas where we have operations or may establish future operations. Zoetis is investigating strategies to quantify the possible impact of carbon regulation and taxes and how new regulations might affect our budgets and operations in certain geographical areas.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Emerging regulations could take several forms and could result in additional costs to maintain compliance with laws and regulations and taxes. Climate change policies continue to evolve, and it is not possible to accurately estimate either a timetable for implementation or our future compliance costs related to implementation.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

Participation in environmental collaborative industry frameworks, initiatives and/or commitments

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Mitigation strategies include, but are not limited to: 1) Internal tracking and reporting of current and proposed laws, governing through appropriate committees, adherence to credible ESG frameworks, and leveraging technology systems to capture and disseminate relevant info. 2) Adherence to policy standards and procedures, governing through appropriate committees, assessments of regulatory landscape, colleague training, implementing, and leveraging technology systems to best manage processes, and engaging in appropriate lobbying efforts. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.

[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Australia

Other, please specify :Australia East Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Melbourne, Rutherford

Row 2

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :California

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Buellton San Diego (Diagnostics & BioDevices) Union City LC Union City Manufacturing ZRL (US) San Diego Lab (Nancy Ridge)

Row 3

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Cambodia

Chao Phraya

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Bangkok (Park Silom) Office

Row 4

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

Other, please specify :People's Republic of China, China Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Suzhou Bios

Row 5

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Germany

Elbe River

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Row 6

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Other, please specify :England and Wales, Pharmaq Fordingbridge

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Pharmaq Fordingbridg

Row 7

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

France

Other, please specify :South Coast - Olot

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Olot

Row 8

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Great Basin

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

- 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

- Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Reno LC

Row 9

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

- Other, please specify :Gulf Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

3

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Irving LC ZRL (US) Houston Lab ZRL (US) Irving

Row 10

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico, North Atlantic

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

ZRL (US) Ft.Lauderdale Lab Durham Marietta LC ZRL (US) Tampa HQ ZRL (US) Tampa Lab

Row 11

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Brazil

Rio De La Plata

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Campinas

Row 12

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Italy

Other, please specify :Mediterranean Sea Islands

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Catania

Row 13

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Mississippi - Missouri

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

3

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Row 14

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Germany

Other, please specify :Belgium, Scheldt

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

Louvain La Neuve

Row 15

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

St. Lawrence

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

3

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

GMS Kalamazoo VMRD Kalamazoo Downtown VMRD Kalamazoo Richland

Row 16

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

Other, please specify :Ziya He, Interior

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

- 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

- Unknown

(3.2.11) Please explain

VMRD Beijing

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Fines, but none that are considered as significant

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

Ireland carbon tax

Other ETS, please specify :Ireland ETS

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.

Other ETS, please specify

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

2.1

(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

(3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

0

(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

0

(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO₂e

2129

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO₂e

0

(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.10) Comment

Ireland ETS

[Fixed row]

(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.

Ireland carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

2.1

(3.5.3.5) Comment

*Zoetis Rathdrum, Tallaght, and Tullamore facility
[Fixed row]*

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Ireland has imposed a carbon tax rate on its utility providers. The utility providers are required to incorporate said carbon tax into the customers utility bill. Therefore, Zoetis pays a certain dollar amount per kwh consumed to cover emitted CO2 emissions. Zoetis is unable to create a specific strategy for compliance as the tax is incorporated into our utility bills.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

- Yes, we have identified opportunities but are unable to realize them

(3.6.3) Please explain

Zoetis is focused on improving efficiency in our processes and seeking ways to utilize technology to minimize water usage & waste. We are currently developing opportunities related to water conservation at sites operating in areas of high-water stress.

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

- Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

- Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Chile
- China
- Italy
- Japan
- Spain
- Australia
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- Brazil
- Canada
- France
- Mexico
- Germany

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Concerns regarding GHG emissions and other potential environmental impacts of livestock production have led to some consumers changing preferences to products raised with environmentally friendly production practices. Zoetis has identified a market opportunity to provide our customers with products and services that could help them lower the environmental and carbon impact on their livestock. Zoetis is committed to minimizing the environmental impact of our products and this includes actively working to mitigate the presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE). The primary source of a veterinary pharmaceutical entering the environment from our products occurs after elimination from the treated animal. On a more local scale, however, pharmaceuticals can also enter the environment at the end of the manufacturing process. We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- About as likely as not (33–66%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Anticipated increased revenues could improve market position and result in an increase in cash flow.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Zoetis has implemented processes to evaluate the carbon impact of our products and have engaged with our stakeholders to explore markets for environmentally preferable products. For example, our Sustainable Product Pathway initiative will inform sustainable product and packaging design for all new Zoetis products and we've identified key decision-making stages early in the research and development process where primary, secondary, and tertiary packaging design and materials can be explicitly evaluated. Integration of these considerations early in the process allows sustainable packaging design and testing alignment with data acquisition, capital planning and launch timings to ensure a smooth outcome. To help identify key areas for improvement, we will use a life cycle approach in broad alignment with life cycle assessment principles outlined by ISO 14040:14044: Environmental Management - Life Cycle Assessment. Zoetis is also investing in resources and research and development in programs to work with customers to reduce their emissions and environmental impact. Some examples include: -Using genetics, data and digital technology to improve individual care of animals. -Innovations including immune therapies that could yield alternatives to antibiotics or understanding pathways that could help reduce methane production. -Improved access to veterinary care, products and training in developing countries, where intensity of GHG emissions from animals are greatest. As part of our product development process, Zoetis considers the risk our products could present to the environment. If required, we take steps to mitigate risks identified during this process to comply with legislative requirements in the country where the product is sold. In 2022, Zoetis established a Research and Development Sustainability Hub, and the team is dedicated to driving initiatives such as evaluating green chemistry alternatives and formulation innovation in order to reduce the impact of our products on the environment. We are also committed to investing in new products to predict, prevent and detect disease early, reducing the need to use products such as antibiotics to ensure animal health and welfare. Currently, we do not have a figure for the cost of response.

Water

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

Reduced water usage and consumption

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

Italy

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Catania

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, actively developing opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- More likely than not (50–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Reduced costs could lead to increase in cash flow and improvement in financial position.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Continue to monitor water usage and consumption.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for board-level oversight but we do anticipate addressing this issue within the next two years in conjunction with refreshing our materiality assessment.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board Terms of Reference
- Board mandate
- Individual role descriptions

Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Accountability is outlined in our Policy on Sustainability, which is publicly available here: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/policy-on-sustainability.pdf

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Board exercises ultimate oversight over Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy, provides guidance regarding sustainability goals and monitors the Company's sustainability progress on an ongoing basis. The Corporate Governance Committee of the Board oversees our strategies, initiatives, activities and disclosures regarding sustainability issues and reports regularly to the full Board on the progress of those initiatives. Each committee of the Board also contributes to the oversight of select areas of Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy and helps inform the Board's ultimate oversight. To evolve our Board's sustainability oversight, we clarified oversight responsibility across our committees; procedures and controls related to key external sustainability disclosures, including any assurance or verification being provided by a third party; and our long-term sustainability strategy and performance. We've established a governance structure that enables

colleagues to work collaboratively and aligns our sustainability priorities. Our Executive Vice President, Corporate Affairs and Chief Sustainability Officer, who reports directly to the CEO and is a member of our Executive Team, helps define the sustainability agenda and provides oversight for our initiatives and goals

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board Terms of Reference
- Board mandate
- Individual role descriptions
- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Company Code of Conduct; Charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee; Charter of the Audit Committee

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

Other, please specify :Monitoring as important matters arise

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Our Board exercises ultimate oversight over Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy, provides guidance regarding sustainability goals and monitors the Company's sustainability progress on an ongoing basis. The Corporate Governance Committee of the Board oversees our strategies, initiatives, activities and disclosures regarding sustainability issues and reports regularly to the full Board on the progress of those initiatives. Each committee of the Board also contributes to the oversight of select areas of Zoetis' sustainability program and strategy and helps inform the Board's ultimate oversight. To evolve our Board's sustainability oversight, we clarified oversight responsibility across our committees; procedures and controls related to key external sustainability disclosures, including any assurance or verification being provided by a third party; and our long-term sustainability strategy and performance. We've established a governance structure that enables colleagues to work collaboratively and aligns our sustainability priorities. Our Executive Vice President, Corporate Affairs and Chief Sustainability Officer, who reports directly to the CEO and is a member of our Executive Team, helps define the sustainability agenda and provides oversight for our initiatives and goals.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues

Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.2.4) Primary reason for no board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.2.5) Explain why your organization does not have a board with competence on this environmental issue

Zoetis considers natural resources to be an important part of our broader ESG governance strategy. As such, we consider board competency on water-related issues to be important and will continue to assess the priority level.

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

Water

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for management-level responsibility but we do anticipate addressing this issue within the next two years.

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President (EVP), Corporate Affairs and CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and leads our overall climate strategy, including resourcing and governing efforts to ensure climate strategy delivery and communicating Zoetis' climate ambition and progress. The CSO provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP and President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage climate-related risks and opportunities that cover Zoetis' global network of 22 manufacturing sites operated by us and supplemented by over 110 third-party contract manufacturing organizations (CMOs). The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP and President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage climate-related risks and opportunities that cover Zoetis' global network of 22 manufacturing sites operated by us and supplemented by over 110 third-party contract manufacturing organizations (CMOs). The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of

Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the sustainability strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Executive Vice President and President Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and leads our overall climate strategy, including resourcing and governing efforts to ensure climate strategy delivery and communicating Zoetis' climate ambition and progress. The CSO provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP and President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage climate-related risks and opportunities that cover Zoetis' global network of 22 manufacturing sites operated by us and supplemented by over 110 third-party contract manufacturing organizations (CMOs). The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- Other, please specify :Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Reports to Executive Vice President and President, Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Executive Vice President and President, Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our CSO is responsible for leading Zoetis' ESG agenda and manages and oversees our global initiatives within Zoetis' three sustainability pillars: Communities, Planet, and Animals. The CSO reports to the CEO and provides a semi-annual detailed update to the Board that covers Zoetis' sustainability program progress. The CSO and/or representatives from the Reporting and Disclosures Steering Council provide more frequent sustainability updates to the Board's Corporate Governance Committee and Quality and Innovation Committee, and general sustainability updates are provided to the Board's Audit Committee and Human Resources Committee regularly. The CSO also reports progress regularly on Zoetis' sustainability strategy directly to the CEO and the Executive Team. The EVP and President Global Manufacturing and Supply collaborates with the CSO to assess and manage climate-related risks and opportunities that cover Zoetis' global network of 22 manufacturing sites operated by us and supplemented by over 110 third-party contract manufacturing organizations (CMOs). The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability, who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability, including coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the sustainability strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- Other, please specify :Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Reports to Executive Vice President and President, Global Manufacturing & Supply

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our

Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the sustainability strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- Other, please specify :Global Head of Corporate Sustainability and ESG Reporting (Head of Sustainability)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define climate targets, progress, reporting, evaluation, and governance of the climate strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- Other, please specify :Global Head of Corporate Responsibility and ESG Reporting (Head of Sustainability)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CSO is informed on the day-to-day evolution of Zoetis' sustainability strategy by the Head of Sustainability who is responsible for establishing and implementing the company's sustainability priorities and goals, tracking progress, and driving organizational accountability. This includes coordinating the work and agenda of our Planet Steering Council. The Head of Sustainability collaborates with cross functional leaders, including the Senior Vice President, Global Quality, Sustainability & EHS to define reporting, evaluation, and governance of the climate strategy. The Head of Sustainability reports Zoetis' progress on our sustainability goals and targets to the CSO.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

AIP payouts to ZET members also reflect our strategic/operational goals, which are incorporated in both ZET shared objectives and individual objectives, and are established at the beginning of the year. Goals are organized under the Company's six strategic pillars: (1) lead through innovation across our diverse portfolio; (2) deliver an exceptional experience to delight our customers; (3) power our business through digital solutions and data insights; (4) support a workplace where colleagues can thrive; (5) advance sustainability for a better future; and (6) perform with excellence and agility.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

Incentives for Zoetis' Executive team are based on multiple business objectives. Objectives cover resources efficiency efforts which include water, although there are no specific water-related targets at this time.

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

Bonus - % of salary

Shares

Profit share

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

AIP payouts to ZET members also reflect our strategic/operational goals, which are incorporated in both ZET shared objectives and individual objectives, and are established at the beginning of the year. Goals are organized under the Company's six strategic pillars: (1) lead through innovation across our diverse portfolio; (2) deliver an exceptional experience to delight our customers; (3) power our business through digital solutions and data insights; (4) support a workplace where colleagues can thrive; (5) advance sustainability for a better future; and (6) perform with excellence and agility.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

To drive accountability, compensation for members of our Executive Team is based on multiple shared and individual business objectives, including the management of sustainability initiatives and the company's strategic priority to advance sustainability in animal health for a better future; however, Zoetis does not have specific monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary
- Shares
- Profit share

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Strategy and financial planning

Other strategy and financial planning-related metrics, please specify :Shared and Individual business objectives

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

AIP payouts to ZET members also reflect our strategic/operational goals, which are incorporated in both ZET shared objectives and individual objectives, and are established at the beginning of the year. Goals are organized under the Company's six strategic pillars: (1) lead through innovation across our diverse portfolio; (2) deliver an exceptional experience to delight our customers; (3) power our business through digital solutions and data insights; (4) support a workplace where colleagues can thrive; (5) advance sustainability for a better future; and (6) perform with excellence and agility.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

To drive accountability, compensation for members of our Executive Team is based on multiple shared and individual business objectives, including the management of sustainability initiatives and the company's strategic priority to advance sustainability in animal health for a better future; however, Zoetis does not have specific monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from:

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Zoetis is committed to the health of animals and supporting the people who care for them. As we grow our business in a way that is considerate of our global community, we are committed to protecting our planet by using the world's resources wisely, developing innovative products that advance animal care and help nurture the world in a sustainable way, and supporting our colleagues and the communities where we live and work.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Climate-specific commitments

- Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Improving sustainability by reducing water intake, reducing waste, and improving energy efficiency.

Social commitments

- Other social commitment, please specify :We have social commitments in our Global Human Rights Policy.

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

policy-on-sustainability.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Zoetis is committed to the health of animals and supporting the people who care for them. As we grow our business in a way that is considerate of our global community, we are committed to protecting our planet by using the world's resources wisely, developing innovative products that advance animal care and help nurture the world in a sustainable way, and supporting our colleagues and the communities where we live and work.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Water-specific commitments

- Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

Social commitments

- Other social commitment, please specify :We have social commitments in our Global Human Rights Policy.

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

policy-on-sustainability.pdf

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

RE100

Other, please specify :ACS GCI Pharmaceutical Roundtable, Sustainable Packaging Coalition (SPC), Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI)

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Zoetis is a member of RE100 and is committed to using 100% renewable energy in its operations. Zoetis is a member of the American Chemistry Society Green Chemistry Institute Pharmaceutical Roundtable an organization dedicated to catalyzing the integration of green chemistry and engineering in the pharmaceutical industry. Member companies come together to catalyze innovative approaches to improving process efficiency and product quality through green chemistry and engineering. By working together, the Roundtable provides leadership and influence throughout the industry and supply chain. Zoetis is a member of the Sustainable Packaging Coalition (SPC) an organization dedicated to creating packaging that is good for people and good for the environment. SPC's mission is creating sustainable packaging that is beneficial, safe, and healthy for individuals and communities throughout its life cycle; meets market criteria for both performance and cost; is sourced, manufactured, transported, and recycled using renewable energy; optimizes the use of renewable or recycled source materials; is manufactured using clean production technologies and best practices; is made from materials that are healthy throughout the life cycle; is physically designed to optimize materials and energy; and is effectively recovered and utilized in biological and/or industrial closed loop cycles. Zoetis is a member of the Pharmaceutical Supply Chain Initiative (PSCI), a group of pharmaceutical and healthcare companies, who share a vision of better social, health, safety and environmental outcomes in the communities where we buy. PSCI believes that, collectively, members can share knowledge and expertise, across the industry, to drive complex, global change more effectively than any one organization alone. The group has joined forces to promote responsible supply chain management and better business conditions across the industry. As a member, Zoetis has access to knowledge and expertise, tapping into a network of professionals; helps build supplier capability to implement the PSCI Principles for Responsible Supply Chain Management (these principles address five areas of responsible business practice: ethics, labor, health & safety, environment, and management systems); contributes to setting the community agenda; and is a recognized supporter and advocate of responsible supply chains.
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

- Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers
- Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

- Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

- Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

European Union Transparency Register (ID: 620014252733-40) Ireland Lobbying Register (ID: n/a) Germany Lobbying Register (ID: R004979) US Lobbying Disclosure Act (US Senate: 401043937-12; US House: 422560000)

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Our Global Public Affairs team sits within our Corporate Affairs function and reports into our Executive Vice President, Corporate Affairs and Chief Sustainability Officer. The relevant members of these teams collaborate to ensure engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence our commitments are aligned with our sustainability strategy.

[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

EU Green Deal

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Low-carbon, non-renewable energy generation

Renewable energy generation

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Regional

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

Europe

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Engage with policy makers to advocate for Zoetis' sustainability positions and commercial interests within the context of the legislation/regulation.

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

The EU Green Deal and some of its underlying precepts which may ultimately inform environmental and sustainability policies in multiple sectors, are under review by a new government after an EU election.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

[Add row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

Other trade association in Europe, please specify :Animal Health Europe

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

AnimalHealthEurope's mission is to be the voice of the European animal medicines industry. Their aim is to highlight the importance of healthy pets and farm animals and to demonstrate the reliability and value of their solutions to society. They focus on ensuring a ready availability of animal health products throughout Europe and help optimize health management while contributing to the sustainability of food production and keeping the companion animals that share our homes free from disease.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

250095

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Our funding supports the animal health industry.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

- Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

- TCFD

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Governance
- Risks & Opportunities
- Strategy
- Emissions figures

Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

2025 Proxy Statement <https://investor.zoetis.com/financials/annual-reports/default.aspx>

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Zoetis-2025-Proxy-Statement.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

*The Company's annual ESG report provides a comprehensive discussion of climate and water topics related to the Company's operations.
[Add row]*

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

- No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We are currently in the process evaluating the development of climate-related scenario analysis to help inform our business strategy in the near future.

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

- No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We are evaluating the use of scenario analysis for water to inform our business strategy.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Climate change is a significant global issue with wide-ranging impacts. At Zoetis, we have committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions in our own operations and managing our climate risks because it matters to the communities we serve, our colleagues, our customers, the health of animals and those who care for them around the world. In 2022, we set a goal to be carbon neutral in our own operations by 2030, with a focus on our Scope 1 and 2 emissions from our manufacturing and R&D operations, office locations and fleet vehicles. We also accelerated our commitment to source 100% renewable energy in our operations by 20 years—with a goal of achieving our RE100 commitment by 2030. To achieve carbon neutrality, we are focused on reducing emissions by: 1) driving energy efficiency at our sites, 2) transitioning our fleet to more fuel-efficient, hybrid and electric vehicles and utilizing biofuels where available, and 3) powering our operations with clean renewable electricity. We are proud of our achievements to date. In the very first year of setting these commitments, we have demonstrated clear progress in developing and implementing our roadmap to carbon neutrality. Within the next few years, while our business continues to grow, we expect to achieve reductions in our emissions as we realize gains from current emissions reduction programs and investments.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- Products and services
- Upstream/downstream value chain
- Investment in R&D
- Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate-related impacts such as increased frequency of natural disasters and adverse weather occurrences, changes in air and water temperatures, changes in water supply may have the potential to impact our customers' operations and businesses. If such events occur, our customers may purchase fewer Zoetis products which inherently would negatively impact our revenues. Zoetis is investing in resources and research and development in programs to work with customers to reduce their emissions and environmental impact. Some examples include using genetics, data and digital technology to improve individual care of animals; innovations including immune therapies that could yield alternatives to antibiotics or understanding pathways that could help reduce methane production; and improved access to veterinary care, products and training in developing countries, where intensity of GHG emissions from animals are greatest. Zoetis is committed to minimizing the environmental impact of our products and this includes actively working to mitigate the presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment (PiE). As part of our product development process, Zoetis considers the risk our products could present to the environment. If required, we take steps to mitigate risks identified during this process to comply with legislative requirements in the country where the product is sold. In 2022, Zoetis established a Research and Development Sustainability Hub,

and the team is dedicated to driving initiatives such as evaluating green chemistry alternatives and formulation innovation in order to reduce the impact of our products on the environment.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

As a global company, Zoetis procures goods and services from all over the world. We align our procurement and supplier management processes to ambitious ethical, social and environmental related principles. We aim to work only with suppliers whose values are consistent with our own and support them in building their own sustainability capabilities. Throughout the life of our relationship with each supplier, we seek to ensure that their conduct matches the expectations in our Supplier Conduct Principles and Supplier Conduct Position Statement. In the area of environmental sustainability, the principles for our suppliers are consistent with Zoetis' corporate commitment to environmental responsibility by implementing systems to assure the safe handling and management of waste, wastewater and air emissions. In support of international regulations regarding conflict minerals, Zoetis surveys its supply base annually for information regarding the origin of certain raw materials, and takes corrective actions as necessary, so we can play our part in preventing the perpetuation of violence and human rights abuse in conflict zones. To assure continuity of critical business processes and to safeguard our colleagues', assets and business reputation, Zoetis maintains a Business Continuity Management (BCM) program. Our BCM methodology provides a risk-based approach to the development of Business Continuity Plans (BCP) that identify potential vulnerabilities, and document action plans to mitigate the risk. The BCP initiative includes a training program and ongoing technical support by our Global EHS team, and extends across manufacturing and R&D sites, commercial markets, supply chain and critical external suppliers. To evaluate the resiliency and risk profile of a site or market, a cross-functional team utilizes risk assessment templates, along with a risk rating matrix to complete business interdependency mapping, operational and product risk assessments, and business impact analyses. In addition, a BCP score card is used to track the status of each individual location's BCP and indicates areas for improvement. Risk ratings are also applied to external suppliers to evaluate their risk profile. Plans are routinely updated and tested by conducting a tabletop exercise to validate recovery capability and identify potential process improvements. Disaster recovery plans for IT systems and applications are also a key output and have been successfully implemented across all critical Zoetis locations.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Increasingly, our stakeholders recognize genetic improvement provides an opportunity to improve herd productivity, health and sustainability outcomes. Our field force uses data and information to derive meaningful insights that help livestock owners, and their consultants, make better decisions. Our R&D efforts in this area are focused on creating integrated management solutions and predictive health and productivity analytics to address the complex challenges associated with improvements in animal health and productivity that we also anticipate will positively impact emissions. We approach this challenge from several angles, including exploring new products that sustainably optimize feed efficiency and productivity, new technologies that mitigate methane emissions and other environmental impacts, and genetic tools that enable the selection of healthy and efficient animals with a reduced carbon footprint. Through these innovative technology solutions, we see opportunities to provide data-derived insights and related decision-making tools to help livestock farmers and ranchers achieve their sustainability goals by managing inputs, optimizing outcomes, reducing methane emissions and continuing to enhance their environmental stewardship.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Our global environmental, health and safety (EHS) management principles ensure that all Zoetis sites achieve and maintain consistently high levels of EHS performance. Our principles are set globally and implemented locally across functions. Each of our manufacturing, R&D and logistics sites are required to implement the Zoetis EHS management system, as defined by our EHS Policy Standard, and to continue to advance it over time. Our sites complete periodic self-audits, checklists and inspections, and review applicable environmental requirements at least annually to ensure compliance with environmental laws and regulations. To ensure adherence to our EHS management system, we also conduct corporate audits of our operating sites. In addition, Zoetis has multiple cross-functional governance committees that help ensure we adhere to environmental requirements and emerging regulations. These include our Enterprise Risk Management Committee, Pharmaceuticals in the Environment (PiE) Committee, Evolving Regulations Committee, Chemical Regulatory Monitoring Committee and Biotherapeutic Community of Practice Committee, among others. Key aspects of our EHS management system include compliance management, environmental aspects and impact analysis, objective setting, competency and training, employee engagement and communications, management of change, monitoring, emergency preparedness, and self-audit. One of our sites is certified to ISO 14001 standards: Catania, Italy. Additionally, we focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary. These PiE efforts for manufacturing have been incorporated as standard for the development of all new Zoetis products.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We aim to better understand where water scarcity or issues related to clean water access may present significant business challenges in both our direct operations and supply chain. With this information, we will be better equipped to make important decisions that could have significant environmental, social and financial implications.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

Direct costs

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

In 2022, we set a goal to be carbon neutral in our own operations and accelerated our RE100 commitment to source 100% renewable electricity in our operations, both by 2030. To achieve carbon neutrality, we are focused on reducing emissions by driving energy efficiency at our sites, transitioning our fleet to more fuel-efficient, hybrid and electric vehicles, and utilizing biofuels where available, and powering our operations with clean, renewable electricity. In addition to our overall program enhancements, we successfully completed 28 projects across our manufacturing and R&D sites during 2024. These investments are expected to result in a reduction of approximately 54,000 gigajoules (GJ) of energy use annually. We have also progressed our five-year capital plan for our ten highest emitting sites, which includes optimizing energy management by enhancing and modernizing utilities and our manufacturing equipment, as well as investing in energy-efficient designs for new sites. In 2023, we also onboarded a packaging specification database to allow us to track packaging materials and identify areas where we can reduce our

environmental impact. In addition, the database and our work in sustainable packaging will allow us to align with global regulations to improve packaging sustainability. In early 2024, we also entered into a 12-year virtual power purchase agreement (VPPA) with Scout Clean Energy for power from their Heart of Texas wind farm. We're working to innovate packaging systems that not only maintain the safety and quality of our products and provide convenience for our customers, but also result in less waste and reduced emissions at every stage of the product pathway. With the support of our leadership team and the dedication of our Packaging Council, packaging technology teams, and manufacturing, regulatory, research, supply chain, and commercial colleagues, we're rethinking our packaging and reducing our environmental footprint. In 2024, renewable electricity covered more than 80% of our global demand. This includes 15 of our manufacturing sites covered by 100% renewable electricity, as well as our R&D facility in Kalamazoo, MI, four commercial facilities in Norway and our three offices in Dublin, Ireland, Parsippany, NJ, and Malvern, PA.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	<p>Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition</p>
	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years</p>

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

862

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

44

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Zoetis continues to evaluate, develop and deliver CAPEX projects related to water, and this will continue into 2025 & 2026. We have not estimated the exact details of forward-looking spending trend specific to water related Capital spend

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

No, but we plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

No standardized procedure

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

In 2024, carbon pricing mechanisms were piloted. We have not evaluated approaches for integrating water pricing into our organization but recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more water efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste.

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Customers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Investors and shareholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Other value chain stakeholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Supplier impacts on water quality

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a PiE program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

15

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We are in the process of evaluating our suppliers with respect to this environmental issue and have plans to develop an engagement prioritization process in the future.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Product safety and compliance
- Regulatory compliance
- Strategic status of suppliers
- Other, please specify :In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to water

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Compliance with environmental, health and safety (EHS) regulations and related compliance provisions are included in our contracts with our suppliers, which allows Zoetis to have accountability over them. In addition, we have a robust EHS review program to ensure compliance with all applicable contract provisions and regulations. In addition, a due diligence review is conducted as part of the onboarding process for all new suppliers.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify :Ensure our wastewater discharges meet safe emission limits

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Certification
- Fines and penalties
- On-site third-party audit
- Second-party verification

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Other, please specify :Zoetis requires suppliers to incorporate measures to prevent and minimize discharge of active substances into the environment. Zoetis is a PSCI member and PIE training materials are promoting environmental management and regulatory compliance.

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

None

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Non-compliant findings are discussed with Suppliers during the audits and Implementation of CAPA plans are agreed and tracked during periodical meetings up to completion to bring supplier back into compliance.

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We focus on environmentally responsible manufacturing practices for our products, including antibiotics, and since 2019, Zoetis has implemented a pharmaceuticals in the environment (PIE) program to establish science-based safe emission limits for those (APIs) which could present a risk to the environment. Robust risk assessments are performed at our manufacturing sites and key suppliers which consider how APIs and manufacturing waste streams are managed. During this process, on-site waste treatment technology is evaluated and optimized to ensure that wastewater discharges meet safe emissions limits and when necessary, waste is destroyed to prevent any releases which could be harmful to the environment. Optimization and implementation of the most effective pollution prevention and control measures are supported by training programs for site personnel, as well as developing analytical methods for APIs to enable measuring API concentrations at critical steps in the wastewater treatment process where necessary.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

Other, please specify :Engagement & incentivization (changing supplier behavior)

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Other capacity building activity, please specify :Engaged suppliers to raise climate change awareness.

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- Facilitate adoption of a unified climate transition approach with suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Products have an impact on the environment at each stage of their life cycle—from manufacturing to freight to end-of-life disposal. Understanding these impacts is crucial to achieving a more sustainable future. To help guide our packaging efforts, we formed a Packaging Council in 2020. This cross-functional team evaluates the safety, quality, sustainability, cost and customer experience considerations of all new packaging designs. In 2022, we created a sustainable packaging guidance document for suppliers to provide packaging specification guidelines for all products delivered to Zoetis. Developed with oversight by the Packaging Council and in partnership with business functions that will be most impacted—commercial, manufacturing, and research and development—the specifications outlined represent materials, attributes and formats that are strongly recommended and will support our packaging. While the specifications are not mandatory, we will require that our suppliers engage with us before delivering packaging that deviates from the materials and formats outlined within the guidance document. In 2023, the measure of success was beginning the rollout of the packaging guidance to key suppliers. During 2024, Zoetis initiated a supplier engagement program to engage with our supplier base on climate related issues. In 2025 we plan to continue this program with 50 of our suppliers to understand their current status and ambitions on climate

related topics. Across the remainder of 2025 we will continue to expand the supplier engagement program by engaging with more of our supply base and signing up to CDP supply chain to help us better understand our end to end supply chain carbon footprint.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Unknown

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Engagement & incentivization (changing supplier behavior)

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

- Other information collection activity, please specify :collection of data during audits, as requested

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Zoetis' manufacturing procurement contracts ask that suppliers support our Supplier Conduct Principles and conform to environmental, health and safety (EHS) expectations. Standard contract language also requires that manufacturing suppliers agree to be audited to assess compliance with these principles, if requested. Following an audit, we work with suppliers to develop action plans and track items to completion. In the area of environmental sustainability, the principles for our

suppliers are consistent with Zoetis' corporate commitment to environmental responsibility by implementing systems to assure the safe handling and management of waste, wastewater and air emissions.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Impact of the engagement and measures of success

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Unknown

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with customers to build an understanding of the sustainable practices Zoetis could implement to support improvement of their environmental footprint.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Our Precision Animal Health (PAH) team has collaborated with DMI and the Ruminant Farm Systems (RuFaS) modeling team to augment the development and testing of the RuFaS on-farm emissions model. We provide animal health and genetics data as well as supportive research expertise to enable comprehensive modeling of dairy farm carbon footprints. This model will be used as the basis for the FARM Environmental Stewardship (ES) platform, with scientific support provided by DMI and, managed by the National Milk Producers Federation (NMPF) to develop emissions inventories and perform scenario analysis of the environmental impact of proposed on-farm management changes. Additionally, combining Danone's commitment to environmental stewardship with Zoetis' expertise in animal genetics, our global partnership aims to innovate the dairy industry's approach to breeding for sustainability. The focus is on integrating sustainable practices into dairy genetics and selection, emphasizing animal well-being, reducing environmental impact and promoting long-term resilience in dairy farming.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with customers to build an understanding of the sustainable practices Zoetis could implement to support improvement of their environmental footprint.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Farmer-led solutions are crucial to solving today's environmental challenges while helping to maintain a healthy, productive herd. A multi-year collaborative genomic project between Farmers for Sustainable Food and Zoetis demonstrated environmental impacts. Our PAH team has collaborated with DMI and the Ruminant Farm Systems (RuFaS) modeling team to augment the development and testing of the RuFaS on-farm emissions model. We provide animal health and genetics data as well as supportive research expertise to enable comprehensive modeling of dairy farm carbon footprints. This model will be used as the basis for the FARM Environmental Stewardship (ES) platform, with scientific support provided by DMI and, managed by the National Milk Producers Federation (NMPF) to develop emissions inventories and perform scenario analysis of the environmental impact of proposed on-farm management changes.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Through projects, research, and customer collaborations, we showcase how our initiatives advance sustainability across the value chain and the broader industry. Sharing this progress reflects our commitment to keeping our investors updated on our partnerships and collaborations.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Through innovative projects and research collaborations with our customers, Zoetis demonstrates how sustainability initiatives translate into progress across the value chain. By tracking and sharing these outcomes, we reaffirm our commitment to advancing our customers' success and sustainability goals.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Industry groups and collaborations

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
- Other education/information sharing, please specify :Collaborating on research to understand the impact of animal health and genetics on sustainable outcomes

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our Zoetis team actively participates on the Executive Committee of the Global Roundtable for Sustainable Beef, comprised of beef producers, processors, allied services and industries, retail companies, civil society, consulting, and national or regional roundtables to advance sustainability of the beef value chain and in Regional Beef Roundtables in Brazil, Canada, New Zealand and the U.S., where we support the development of regional sustainability goals, sector targets, tools and resources. Our Precision Animal Health team collaborated with DMI and the Ruminant Farm Systems (RuFaS) modeling team to augment the development and testing of the RuFaS on-farm emissions model. We provided animal health and genetics data as well as supportive research expertise to enable comprehensive modeling of dairy farm carbon footprints. This model will be used as the basis for the FARM Environmental Stewardship (ES) platform, with scientific support provided by DMI and, managed by the National Milk Producers Federation (NMPF) to develop emissions inventories and perform scenario analysis of the environmental impact of proposed on-farm management changes. According to the NMPF, cooperatives and processors representing 80% of the U.S. milk supply participate in the FARM ES program, and therefore, the Zoetis and DMI partnership represents a significant, while yet preliminary analysis of, the opportunity to understand levers that can improve the efficiency and environmental impact of milk production. The work completed in 2023 and continuing into 2024 will support the understanding of health and genetics as levers for environmental stewardship as they anticipate the launch of the updated FARM ES platform in 2024.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We believe that strategic collaborations can help accelerate progress and deliver a sustained positive impact. Our collaboration with globally and internationally recognized organizations has allowed us to engage in informed discussions, goal development and industry support on animal health, welfare and sustainability, particularly on the topic of sustainable beef production. Beef Roundtables: Comprised of beef producers, processors, allied services and industries, retail companies, civil society, consulting, and national or regional roundtables, the Global Roundtable for Sustainable Beef advances sustainability of the beef value. Zoetis' aquaculture business, PHARMAQ's innovative tools can help the aquaculture industry make informed decisions and increase efficiency while managing fish health, reducing avoidable losses and improving fish welfare. We are advancing science and discovery in the Cattle Industry. Our collaboration with Colorado State University's AgNext strives to close data gaps related to technology and management practices that improve livestock production efficiency, enhance animal wellbeing and meet the evolving sustainability needs of the cattle industry.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Through projects, research, and customer collaborations, we showcase how our initiatives advance sustainability across the value chain and the broader industry. Sharing this progress reflects our commitment to keeping our investors updated on our partnerships and collaborations.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Through innovative projects and research collaborations with our customers, Zoetis demonstrates how sustainability initiatives translate into progress across the value chain. By tracking and sharing these outcomes, we reaffirm our commitment to advancing our customers' success and sustainability goals.

[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control consolidation approach aligns with how we manage our operations and assets.</i>

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?	Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with	Details of structural change(s), including completion dates
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, other structural change, please specify	<i>In 2024, Zoetis divested its portfolio of medicated feed additives (MFA), certain water-soluble products and related assets.</i>	<i>The divestment was completed on October 31, 2024.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

	Base year recalculation	Scope(s) recalculated	Past years’ recalculation
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2, location-based <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2, market-based	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

Zoetis reports scope 2 at the corporate-level with both location-based and market-based figures. As a global company, we use both methods to accurately provide our emissions with the different global data available. We also work with our team to identify any data gaps that might arise throughout the fiscal year especially in the new/closed offices in 2024. Market-based Scope 2 emissions are the basis of our carbon neutrality commitment, and we use these metrics to measure our performance.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Emissions from some site-managed company vehicles and forklifts are not included in our Scope 1 emissions.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not evaluated

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Emissions from some site-managed company vehicles and forklifts are not included in our Scope 1 emissions.

Row 2

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Emissions of perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF3)

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF3) are not typically used in the manufacture of pharmaceutical products. Zoetis has evaluated its manufacturing operations that these 3 gasses are not emission sources.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF3) are not typically used in the manufacture of pharmaceutical products. Zoetis has evaluated its manufacturing operations that these 3 gasses are not emission sources.

Row 3

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Biogenic carbon is not included in our total Scope 1 emissions.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are relevant and calculated, but not disclosed

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

1.5

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Biogenic Carbon is disclosed but not included in our total Scope 1 emissions.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Biogenic Carbon is disclosed but not included in our total Scope 1 emissions.

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

86501

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

143887

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

118003

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29555

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions associated with air travel, hotel stays, car rentals and rail travel booked within Zoetis' travel system are calculated by a third party that uses activity data such as aircraft type, cabin class and distance. Emissions associated with personal car and ride-share are calculated using data from the company's reimbursement system. Excluded sources include travel booked outside of our travel system and booking changes. Emissions associated with travel conducted by colleagues who were part of the MFA divestiture have not been excluded from these totals.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12402

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions in this category include both commuting and telecommuting. For commuting, a hybrid average-based and distance-based method was used in accordance with the GHG Protocol Scope 3 Technical Guidance, and based on headcount as of December 31 of the respective reporting year. Estimates were used for the number of commuting days per week and assumed to be the same for 2021–2024. For telecommuting, the methodology outlined in the Anthesis Remote Worker Emissions Methodology, February 2021 report was used. Emissions associated with commuting conducted by colleagues who were part of the MFA divestiture have been excluded from these totals.

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

101391.88

(7.6.3) Methodological details

GHG emissions were calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations.. Carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) values include CO2, methane (CH4) and nitrous oxide (N2O), and perfluorocarbons (PFCs) using AR6 unless otherwise noted. Because we do not have operations that traditionally emit sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF3), these are not included in our footprint. GHG emissions and emissions intensity were updated for all years reported above to remove emissions from operations that were part of the MFA divestiture unless otherwise noted. Other updates include the expansion of our boundary to include refrigerant emissions in all years, our Atlanta, GA facility and other small combustion sources in 2024. In addition, where applicable, we updated or corrected minor errors with emission factors. Emissions from our waste solvent incinerator are included in our Scope 1 emissions. Emissions from some site-managed company vehicles and forklifts are not included in our Scope 1 emissions. Energy usage from our offices is estimated based on the square footage of the office when energy invoices are not available. We are currently capturing data for 100% of offices with an area greater than 1000 sq.ft. [Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

116354.95

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29273.12

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are calculated using the GHG Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard as a basis and cover global operations. Carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) values include CO2, methane (CH4) and nitrous oxide (N2O), and perfluorocarbons (PFCs) using AR6 unless otherwise noted.

Because we do not have operations that traditionally emit sulfur hexafluoride (SF6), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF3), these are not included in our footprint. GHG emissions and emissions intensity were updated for all years reported above to remove emissions from operations that were part of the MFA divestiture unless otherwise noted. Other updates include the expansion of our boundary to include refrigerant emissions in all years, our Atlanta, GA facility and other small combustion sources in 2024. In addition, where applicable, we updated or corrected minor errors with emission factors. Emissions from our waste solvent incinerator are included in our Scope 1 emissions. Emissions from some site-managed company vehicles and forklifts are not included in our Scope 1 emissions. Energy usage from our offices is estimated based on the square footage of the office when energy invoices are not available. We are currently capturing data for 100% of offices with an area greater than 1000 sq.ft. Scope 1 and market-based Scope 2 emissions are the basis of our carbon neutrality commitment, and we use these metrics to measure our performance.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

24620.42

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions associated with air travel, hotel stays, car rentals and rail travel booked within Zoetis' travel system are calculated by a third party that uses activity data such as aircraft type, cabin class and distance. Emissions associated with personal car and ride-share are calculated using data from the company's reimbursement system. Excluded sources include travel booked outside of our travel system and booking changes. Emissions associated with travel conducted by colleagues who were part of the MFA divestiture have not been excluded from these totals.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

13825.04

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Hybrid method
- Average data method
- Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions in this category include both commuting and telecommuting. For commuting, a hybrid average-based and distance-based method was used in accordance with the GHG Protocol Scope 3 Technical Guidance, and based on headcount as of December 31 of the respective reporting year. Estimates were used for the number of commuting days per week and assumed to be the same for 2021–2024. For telecommuting, the methodology outlined in the Anthesis Remote Worker Emissions Methodology, February 2021 report was used. Emissions associated with commuting conducted by colleagues who were part of the MFA divestiture have been excluded from these totals.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

For the upstream leased assets that we operate in, these emissions (where available) are accounted for in the scope 1 & scope 2 footprint.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable. Zoetis does not have franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Zoetis is currently taking steps to build capabilities for Scope 3 emissions reporting

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2100.79

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.18

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2024, Zoetis' global self-generated electricity production increased due to the new solar panel facility installed at the end of 2023 at the Suzhou Bios manufacturing site, which operated throughout the full year of 2024

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

53078.89

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

29.79

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2024, Zoetis entered into a VPPA contract with Heart of Texas, which significantly reduced the Scope 2 market-based emissions in our US Operations (largest electricity consuming region).

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

GHG emissions and emissions intensity were updated for all years reported above to remove emissions from operations that were part of the MFA divestiture.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1510.92

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.85

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2024, increased production activities and a slight rise in fleet usage contributed to a 0.85% increase in carbon emissions due to change in output

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1373.84

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.77

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Zoetis expanded its international presence with a new office in Hyderabad, India; established a new manufacturing facility in Atlanta, US; opened a new logistics center on Lee's Summit, US; and carried out several facility expansions at VMRD Fort Collins, US and Rutherford, AUS

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

643.63

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.36

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

The seasonal effect of winter led to increased natural gas use at the Suzhou Bios facility

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not Applicable

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

71.13

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.04

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

The closure of several Zoetis's ZRL labs and small offices in 2024, including the New York office, resulted in a decrease in total CO2 emissions under this category [Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

Yes

(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

	CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)	Comment
	1984	<i>Biogenic carbon emissions include combustion of biogenic fuels. Biogenic carbon is not included in our total Scope 1 emissions.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

297.23

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1763.72

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3311.92

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4098.27

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.66

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.66

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2779.83

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2211.42

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2137.23

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1660.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1036.69

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.75

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1098.03

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.64

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.64

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

417.19

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.46

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.46

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3909.08

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9507

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9507

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

114.51

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.36

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.36

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18.86

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.76

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.76

Croatia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.74

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

52.59

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.91

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.91

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

82.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

179.17

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

588.55

Ecuador

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63.33

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.81

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.81

Egypt

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

221.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.14

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.14

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7.97

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

628.42

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.13

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.13

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

525.82

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

313.15

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

318.12

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

100.17

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.58

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.58

Guatemala

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.56

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7.46

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

104.98

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.6

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

745.74

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2944.13

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2944.13

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.65

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.65

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7055.59

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8446.38

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.32

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

45.93

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.2

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8133.43

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4906.24

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4906.24

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

437.11

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

92.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

92.8

Jordan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.07

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.07

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.32

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.32

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

782.35

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

48.17

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

48.17

Morocco

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.99

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

157.89

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.05

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.05

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

276.82

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.99

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.6

Norway

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

288.95

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

194.49

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

42.7

Pakistan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.15

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.15

Panama

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5.41

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.43

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.43

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21.91

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.91

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.91

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

233.26

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.32

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.32

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

287.57

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

182.29

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

182.29

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

129.27

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.2

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

192.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.59

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.59

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.18

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Russian Federation

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27.65

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.95

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.95

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.62

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.62

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

158.34

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

158.34

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3740.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2325.36

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.51

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

34.32

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

45.24

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.42

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.42

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

116.41

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.16

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.16

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

541.76

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.76

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.76

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

523.82

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

71.71

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

71.71

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

96.13

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.94

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.94

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

267.54

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

168.47

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

152.85

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63122.32

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

79278.44

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3002.74

Uruguay

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

28.51

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.05

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.05

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

188.99

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

42.98

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

42.98

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By business division

By facility

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Fleet</i>	22674.07
Row 2	<i>GMS</i>	60918.03
Row 3	<i>Offices</i>	168.2
Row 5	<i>Pharmaq</i>	4.55

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 6	VMRD	17528.69
Row 7	Zoetis Reference Labs	98.33

[Add row]

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Irving Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

78.34

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.828074

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-97.032931

Row 2

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Parsippany Sylvan Way

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

47.94

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.8653

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

74.4174

Row 4

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Thane (Mumbai)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

745.74

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

19.2183

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

72.9781

Row 5

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Catania

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7463.21

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.490745

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

14.921628

Row 6

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Whitehall

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

728.33

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.437

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

90.4032

Row 7

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Farum

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42.23

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

55.813

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

12.3708

Row 8

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Suzhou Bio

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3909.08

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

31.2983

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.5832

Row 9

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Zaventem (office)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

64.08

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.8798

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.4728

Row 10

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Reno Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

52.9

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.5299

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

119.8143

Row 11

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Wellington

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63.4

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.8509

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.7645

Row 12

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lewisberry Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

110.13

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.1351

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

76.8597

Row 13

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Campinas

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1022.54

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

22.9099

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

47.0626

Row 14

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Tallaght

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

513.97

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

53.2854

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

6.3658

Row 15

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Union City Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20.3

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.5934

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

122.0439

Row 17

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Charles City

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11836.89

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

43.0664

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

92.6724

Row 19

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Union City Manufacturing

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1067.87

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

37.5934

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

122.0439

Row 20

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Overhalla

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

280.18

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

64.4761

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

11.8579

Row 21

(7.17.2.1) Facility

San Diego

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11.47

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.7157

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

117.1611

Row 23

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Olot

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3191.11

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.1822

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

2.488

Row 24

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Downtown

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8636.88

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.2917

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-85.5872

Row 25

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Auckland Office

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.74

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.8509

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

174.7645

Row 26

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Buellton

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24.45

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

34.6136

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

120.1927

Row 27

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Atlanta

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

46.21

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

33.99663

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-84.523325

Row 28

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Rutherford

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

132.49

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-32.7203

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

151.5003

Row 29

(7.17.2.1) Facility

GMS Kalamazoo

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4844.3

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.2917

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

85.5872

Row 30

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lincoln

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14604.23

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.8136

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

96.7026

Row 31

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Marietta Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

119.36

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

39.4154

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

81.4548

Row 33

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Griesheim Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

56.32

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

49.8614

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

8.5676

Row 35

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Durham

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

355.86

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

35.994

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

78.8986

Row 36

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Rathdrum

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5696.14

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.8124

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

116.8966

Row 37

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Tullamore

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

682.75

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

53.2755

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

7.4934

Row 38

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Louvain la Neuve

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2306.09

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.6681

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.6118

Row 39

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lee Summit Logistics Center

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

851.07

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

38.9108

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

94.3822

Row 40

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Melbourne

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

853.02

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-37.81534

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

144.96323

Row 41

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Inverness

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.55

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.913075

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.539823

Row 42

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Fort Collins

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

45.21

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.555769

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-105.228098

Row 43

(7.17.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Richland

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8100.87

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

42.337293

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-85.495721

Row 44

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ZCAM

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9.23

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

52.198887

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

0.078788

Row 45

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Irving

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

47.36

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

32.862432

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-97.04424

Row 46

(7.17.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Mukilteo

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

50.98

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

47.915504

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-122.347738

Row 47

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Global Operations (fleet data)

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

22674.07

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

40.84614

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-74.44564

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

- By business division
- By facility

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>GMS (Global Manufacturing & Supply)</i>	88271.51	23401.7
Row 2	<i>Offices</i>	4677.31	2330.24
Row 3	<i>Pharmaq</i>	91.63	76.01
Row 4	<i>VMRD</i>	22274.22	3014.49
Row 5	<i>Zoetis Reference Labs</i>	1040.28	450.67

[Add row]

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bangkok (Park Silom) Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.73

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.73

Row 2

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Shanghai (Sichuan North Road)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

119.89

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

119.89

Row 3

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Warsaw Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

182.29

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

182.29

Row 4

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Jakarta Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

43.08

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

43.08

Row 5

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Buenos Aires Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

Row 6

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hyderabad Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.6

Row 7

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.16

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.16

Row 8

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Melbourne

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1617.32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1617.32

Row 9

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lewisberry LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

401.11

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 10

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Independence LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

280.58

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 11

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Capelle Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.05

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.05

Row 12

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Rutherford

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1618.49

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2404.84

Row 13

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Bergen

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.75

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.75

Row 14

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tokyo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

92.8

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

92.8

Row 15

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Wellington

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58.12

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.73

Row 16

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Overhalla

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

109.98

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 17

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bangkok Office Site 2 (Science Park)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.03

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.03

Row 18

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Durham

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1027.01

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 19

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Madrid Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.94

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.94

Row 20

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.43

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.43

Row 21

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Marietta LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

235.27

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 22

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Budapest Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.6

Row 23

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malvern Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

292.82

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 24

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lahor Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.15

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.15

Row 25

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tallaght

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

466.74

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 27

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Chapeco Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.22

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.22

Row 28

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Union City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

726.31

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 29

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Prague Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.91

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.91

Row 30

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sydney Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

57.06

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

57.06

Row 31

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Dublin Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

74.75

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 32

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Farum

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

168.2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

577.58

Row 33

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Copenhagen Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.97

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.97

Row 34

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.51

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.51

Row 35

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Morrisville, NC Warehouse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.36

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 36

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mumbai Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58.54

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

58.54

Row 38

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Beijing

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

146.24

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

146.24

Row 39

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sao Paulo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.53

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.53

Row 40

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Izmir Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.69

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.69

Row 41

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Vienna Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.55

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.55

Row 42

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Berlin Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

78.46

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

78.46

Row 44

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Union City Logistic Center

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

76.1

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 45

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Ho Chi Minh Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.36

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.36

Row 46

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Charles City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13824.65

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 47

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Rørvik

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.21

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.21

Row 48

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Fort Collins

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

307.91

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.81

Row 49

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hanoi Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.2

Row 50

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Leatherhead Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.87

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51.87

Row 51

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Porto Salvo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.2

Row 52

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Nesna

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.38

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.38

Row 54

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Thessaloniki Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5

Row 55

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Springdale, AK Warehouse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.58

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 56

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Oslo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.23

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.23

Row 57

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Buellton

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

112.81

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 58

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Louvain La Neuve

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2125.37

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2052.81

Row 59

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Puerto Montt Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.26

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.26

Row 60

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Irving LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

181.59

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 61

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mexico City Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

48.17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

48.17

Row 62

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kiev Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.94

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.94

Row 63

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Malakoff Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

68.22

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

68.22

Row 64

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Seoul Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.59

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.59

Row 66

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kfar Saba Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.2

Row 67

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Griesheim LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

108.72

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

108.72

Row 68

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Puerto Montt

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.14

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.14

Row 69

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Amman

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.067

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.067

Row 70

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Richland

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5156.9

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 71

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Beijing Office Site (Changsheng Road)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.46

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.46

Row 72

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kirkland Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.64

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.64

Row 73

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Banyo Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.05

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.05

Row 74

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lima Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.91

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.91

Row 75

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Cairo Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.35

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.35

Row 76

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Parsippany Century Drive

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.43

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 77

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

160.02

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 79

(7.20.2.1) Facility

White Hall

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1833.2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 80

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Singapore Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.62

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.62

Row 81

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Olot

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2298.85

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 83

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Navi Mumbai (Thane)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2767.57

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2767.57

Row 84

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bogota Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.36

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.36

Row 85

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Johannesburg Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

158.34

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

158.34

Row 86

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD College Station Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

88.07

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 87

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Catania

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4889.73

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4888

Row 88

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Overland Park LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.07

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 89

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Auckland Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.87

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.87

Row 90

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lincoln

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

24405.42

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 91

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Parsippany Sylvan Way Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

501.7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 93

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Zaventem Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.98

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.98

Row 94

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Maroussi Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.58

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.58

Row 95

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Reno LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

126.62

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 96

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Medan Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.57

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.57

Row 97

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lee's Summit LC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1057.7

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 98

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Manila Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.32

Row 99

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Kalamazoo Downtown

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13754.67

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 100

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Delemont Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.42

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.42

Row 101

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Rathdrum

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6116.17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 102

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Beijing Office (Xinyuan South Rd)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

49.39

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

49.39

Row 103

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Puerto Montt Warehouse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.5

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.5

Row 104

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Munich (Adivo)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

53.65

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

58.62

Row 105

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mont-Saint-Guibert Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.64

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.64

Row 106

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Campinas

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1019.94

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 107

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Inverness

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.12

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.21

Row 108

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Suzhou Bios

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8711.36

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8711.36

Row 109

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Analytiq Galway

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.32

Row 110

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Cairo (Warehouse)

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.79

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.79

Row 111

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tullamore

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1777.39

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 112

(7.20.2.1) Facility

San Jose Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.76

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.76

Row 113

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Cantho City

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.41

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.41

Row 114

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Istanbul Office Site

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

59.02

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

59.02

Row 115

(7.20.2.1) Facility

GMS Kalamazoo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12626.56

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2954.93

Row 116

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Klofta

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

41.91

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 117

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kuala Lumpur Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.32

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.32

Row 118

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Madrid Warehouse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.57

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.57

Row 119

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Moscow Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.95

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.95

Row 120

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Motevideo Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.05

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.05

Row 122

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Santiago Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.55

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.55

Row 123

(7.20.2.1) Facility

VMRD Torrance, CA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.38

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 124

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Weibern 16 Hauptstrasse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.31

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.31

Row 125

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Weibern 28 Hauptstrasse

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.8

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.8

Row 131

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Denver Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.15

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 132

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Detroit Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.07

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 133

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Ft. Lauderdale Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 134

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Houston Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.27

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 135

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Long Island Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.33

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 136

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (China) Shanghai Swine

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

203.49

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

203.49

Row 137

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (China) Beijing Swine Diagnostics Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

247.17

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

247.17

Row 138

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZCAM

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

89.77

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

89.77

Row 139

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Louisville Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

275.11

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 140

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Mukilteo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

83.6

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 142

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) San Diego Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.95

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 144

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Tampa HQ

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.44

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 145

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Tampa Lab

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.64

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 146

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Waukesha

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.38

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 147

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Wayne

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.98

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 148

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Atlanta

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1387.264

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 149

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Berlin 2 Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

72.319

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

72.319

Row 150

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Carle Place Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.308

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 151

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hyderabad 2

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.427

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.427

Row 152

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kansas City Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

31.363

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 153

(7.20.2.1) Facility

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.432

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.8

Row 154

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Paris Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.908

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.908

Row 155

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Pharmaq Fordingbridge

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.716

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 156

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Quito Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.808

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.808

Row 157

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Spillum Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.127

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.127

Row 158

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Torrance Office

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.057

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 159

(7.20.2.1) Facility

ZRL (US) Irving

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

109.698

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.22.4) Please explain*No other entities.**[Fixed row]***(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?***Select from:* No**(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?***Select from:* More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%**(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.**

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<i>Select from:</i>

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

8320.67

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

499524.43

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

507845.10

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

238463.78

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

58432.47

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

296896.25

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

52.56

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

52.56

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

13253.85

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

13253.85

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

3931.93

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

3931.93

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

250716.38

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

571263.31

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

821979.69

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Zoetis does not currently use sustainable biomass

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

8320.67

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Ethanol used on our fleet vehicles

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Zoetis does not currently use other renewable fuels

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Coal is not consumed by the organization currently

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

94706.6

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Oil is used to fire a steam boiler at one location.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

390623.32

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Details from tri-generation activities at Catania, Italy

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

14194.51

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Propane Gas & Diesel used on our manufacturing sites, and Gasoline & Diesel used on our fleet vehicles

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

507845.1

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

*See comments above
[Fixed row]*

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

12478.62

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

12478.62

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

3931.93

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

3931.93

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

2863.59

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

2863.59

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

4170.43

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

4170.43

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

74.41

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

74.41

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6357.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6357.20

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

128.01

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

128.01

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12752.54

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

173.33

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12925.87

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

not applicable

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11576.68

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11576.68

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

not applicable

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

309.75

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

309.75

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

229.36

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

229.36

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

15300.07

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1777.08

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17077.15

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

36.12

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

36.12

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

166.54

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

166.54

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Croatia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

63.39

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

63.39

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1165.01

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1165.01

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Ecuador

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

20.18

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

20.18

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Egypt

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

156.92

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

156.92

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

182.09

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

182.09

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

783.79

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

783.79

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Greece

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

131.96

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

131.96

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Guatemala

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Honduras

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

71.61

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

71.61

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4081.39

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

490.78

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4572.17

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Indonesia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

96.03

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

96.03

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22391.15

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

22391.15

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

46.88

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

46.88

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12429.06

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1253.8

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

7034.02

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

20716.88

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

168.99

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

168.99

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Jordan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8.07

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

8.07

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

42

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

42.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

117.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

117.20

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Morocco

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

82.82

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

82.82

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

660.07

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

660.07

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Norway

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6311.62

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

52.56

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6364.18

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Pakistan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

24.75

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

24.75

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Panama

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

34.58

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

34.58

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

26.96

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

26.96

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

81.41

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

81.41

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

229.67

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

229.67

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

78.79

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

78.79

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

166.25

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

166.25

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Russian Federation

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

49.13

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

49.13

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

81.26

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

81.26

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

225.63

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

225.63

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14542.62

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

181.54

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14724.16

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

40.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

40.20

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

95.63

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

95.63

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

89.85

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

89.85

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

169.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

169.50

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Ukraine

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

58.45

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

58.45

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

844.82

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

844.82

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

184028.93

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

55.4

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

13253.85

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

197338.18

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Uruguay

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10.77

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.77

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

Viet Nam

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

76.14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

No

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

76.14

(7.30.16.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

Not applicable

[Fixed row]

(7.30.17) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity purchases in the reporting year by country/area.

Row 1

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

11389.63

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

No additional, voluntary label

Row 2

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Ireland

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

22361.15

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Ireland

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

No additional, voluntary label

Row 3

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Norway

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Default delivered renewable electricity from the grid in a market with 95% or more renewable electricity capacity and where there is no mechanism for specifically allocating renewable electricity

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6307.23

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Norway

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

No additional, voluntary label

Row 4

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Spain

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

14376.84

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

Spain

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

2023

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2020

(7.30.17.11) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

No additional, voluntary label

Row 5

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

United States of America

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

184028.93

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

United States of America

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.17.8) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2020

(7.30.17.9) Vintage of the renewable energy/attribute (i.e. year of generation)

Select from:

2024

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

[Add row]

(7.30.18) Provide details of your organization’s low-carbon heat, steam, and cooling purchases in the reporting year by country/area.

Row 1

(7.30.18.1) Sourcing method

Select from:

None (no purchases of low-carbon heat, steam, or cooling)

(7.30.18.6) Comment

Our purchased steam at our Italy and US based operations does not originate from low carbon sources. The purchased heat at our Bergen (Norway) based operations originates from the district’s waste incinerator.

[Add row]

(7.30.19) Provide details of your organization’s renewable electricity generation by country/area in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

Belgium

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

157

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

173.33

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

173.33

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 2

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

China

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1500

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1777.08

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1777.08

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 3

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

India

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

425

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

490.78

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

490.78

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 4

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

Italy

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1600

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1253.8

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1253.8

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 5

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

Spain

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

116

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

181.54

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

181.54

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

Row 6

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

United States of America

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

86.6

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

55.4

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

55.4

(7.30.19.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

No

(7.30.19.8) Comment

Not Applicable

[Add row]

(7.30.20) Describe how your organization’s renewable electricity sourcing strategy directly or indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid in the countries/areas in which you operate.

As part of our carbon-neutrality goal, we have committed to sourcing 100% renewable electricity across our global operations by 2030 and joined the RE100 initiative. We plan to achieve these commitments through a number of different strategies including power purchase agreements, green tariffs and other utility programs, and through the installation of on-site renewable electricity at sites. We continue to monitor the renewable electricity industry to understand new opportunities to help us meet our renewable electricity targets. In 2024, fifteen of our manufacturing sites operated with 100% renewable electricity, including plus four commercial operations in Norway and our office in Dublin, Ireland—our largest office outside the United States. As reported in the 2022 CDP climate submission, Zoetis has signed up to long-term virtual power purchase agreement (VPPA) with Vesper which help them to develop a solar farm in Texas which was under construction during 2024 and aims to begin operation in 2025. In early 2024, we also entered into our second VPPA with Scout Clean Energy for their Heart of Texas wind farm. Taking into account the divestment of our MFA business in 2024, the Heart of Texas windfarm powered 100% of our US electricity demand. In Europe and Asia, Zoetis continues to review opportunities to transition our electricity to renewable sources. These efforts underpin our commitment to sourcing 100% renewable electricity while simultaneously bringing ‘additionality’ to the market.

(7.30.21) In the reporting year, has your organization faced barriers or challenges to sourcing renewable electricity?

	Challenges to sourcing renewable electricity
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, in specific countries/areas in which we operate

[Fixed row]

(7.30.22) Provide details of the country/area-specific challenges to sourcing renewable electricity faced by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.22.1) Country/area

Select from:

China

(7.30.22.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

Other, please specify

(7.30.22.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

Zoetis currently reviewing its renewable electricity strategy for APAC

Row 2

(7.30.22.1) Country/area

Select from:

Australia

(7.30.22.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

Other, please specify

(7.30.22.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

Zoetis currently reviewing its renewable electricity strategy for APAC

[Add row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.00001468

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

130665

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

8901000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

33.06

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

- Change in renewable energy consumption
- Other emissions reduction activities

(7.45.9) Please explain

The reductions achieved in 2024 were primarily driven by emission reduction efforts through the VPPA Agreement, which lowered all US Scope 2 market-based emissions, along with increased renewable energy consumption from the new solar panels operating year-round at the Suzhou Bios site in China.

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

- Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

28973

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Total waste (metric tons)

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Millions of sales revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

1.56

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Not applicable

Row 2

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

2596249.5

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Total energy (GJ)

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Millions of sales revenue

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

5.5

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Not applicable
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
 Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:
 Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:
 No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2022

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:
 Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2021

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

86501

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

118003

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

204504.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

100

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

101391.88

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

29273.117

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

130664.997

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

Yes, it covers land-related and non-land related emissions (e.g. SBT approved before the release of FLAG target-setting guidance)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

36.11

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our target covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 in our own operations. The percent reduction auto-calculated in the CDP disclosure was calculated based on the values in this disclosure. The percent change published in our 2023 sustainability report was based on a percentage calculated using adjusted historical Scope 1 and 2 emissions not updated in the report.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

In 2022, we set a goal to be carbon neutral in our own operations and accelerated our RE100 commitment to source 100% renewable electricity in our operations, both by 2030. To achieve carbon neutrality, we are focused on reducing emissions by: • Driving energy efficiency at our sites • Transitioning our fleet to more fuel-efficient, hybrid and electric vehicles, and utilizing biofuels where available • Powering our operations with clean, renewable electricity

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

2024 delivered significant progress towards our 2023 carbon neutral goal. The key drivers of this progress were in onboarding of our renewable electricity VPPA project in the US which enabled us to achieve zero scope 2 (market based) emissions and our energy program which delivered 28 projects across our manufacturing and VMRD network.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

- Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production
- Other climate-related targets

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Low 1

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

11/01/2021

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

- Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

12/31/2020

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

46818

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

18

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

100

(7.54.1.12) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in reporting year

80.6

(7.54.1.13) % of target achieved relative to base year

76.34

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

- RE100

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

As part of our aspiration to achieve carbon neutrality, we accelerated our commitment to RE100 by 20 years, with a target of achieving 100% renewable energy sourcing by 2030. Base year data was adjusted to carve out MFA divestiture.

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

Achieve 100% renewable energy sourcing by 2030.

(7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To achieve our goal of sourcing 100% renewable electricity across our global operations by 2030, we use a mix of strategies, including power purchase agreements, participation in utility programs and the installation of on-site systems at suitable locations. The Heart of Texas wind farm, operated by Scout Clean Energy in McCulloch County, TX, began supplying renewable energy to Zoetis through a VPPA in 2024. In Australia, our Rutherford manufacturing site installed an 800kW solar array, which will generate up to approximately 30% of the site's electricity needs. Rutherford is the eighth Zoetis site to generate a portion of its power on-site from renewable sources.

[Add row]

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

03/10/2021

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Business activity

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Intensity

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy productivity

Other, energy productivity, please specify :Metric tons CO2e from employee commuting and business travel

(7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)

Select from:

Other, please specify :Number of employees

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

4.1

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2025

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

3.1

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

2.8

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

130.0000000000

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes - Reduce transportation-related emissions by 25% by 2025

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Based on 2019 baseline. Reduction reported is total of business travel and colleague commuting normalized by number of colleagues for respective reporting year.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Rethink business travel and work-from-home policies to reduce transportation-related emissions by 25% by 2025

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

We aim to reduce our transportation-related emissions by 25% by the end of 2025, using 2019 as our base year. To achieve this, we continue to rethink our business travel and work-from-home policies. To balance business needs with sustainability and colleague well-being, we continue to refine our flexible work models, with many teams blending virtual and in-office work. We also support colleagues who drive EVs, and we have installed charging stations at 29 locations globally

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	24	<i>Numeric input</i>
To be implemented	159	14922
Implementation commenced	43	4809
Implemented	27	5513
Not to be implemented	0	<i>Numeric input</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

835

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

132401

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

7707418

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 21-30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

10 projects implemented including upgrades of existing equipment with more energy efficient technologies, process control optimizations, and heat recovery for AHU optimization

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Compressed air

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

276

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

99383

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

49545

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

2 completed projects focused on leak reduction and improved maintenance practices

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

22

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

2160

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

10000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

1 project completed

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Electrification

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

264

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

52722

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

1 project implemented to convert steam process to electric heat

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy generation

Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

635

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

115306

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

830755

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

1 solar roof installation in our manufacturing facility in Rutherford, Australia

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Reuse of steam

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

328

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

190568

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

88774

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

2 steam trap repair projects completed

Row 7

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

- Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1058

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

325915

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

32494

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 11-15 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

3 projects implemented including sitewide effort to reduce steam via process optimizations, leak minimization, awareness/personnel behavior changes, insulation upgrades, and control optimization. In addition, two other control optimization projects implemented.

Row 8

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Waste heat recovery

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

20

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

17535

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

40000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

1 project implemented to reuse steam in boiler configuration

Row 9

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

- Machine/equipment replacement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

2075

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

728437

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

21170326

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

>25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

*6 projects implemented to upgrade existing utility equipment to more energy efficient technologies including boiler, air compressor, cooling towers, chiller, and freezer
[Add row]*

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

The Planet Steering Council is a working group dedicated to aligning business and sustainability priorities across our organization, which enables cross functional engagement from a variety of Zoetis business units including representatives from Communications, R&D, Global Manufacturing and Supply (GMS), among others.

The Planet Steering Council divides work and accountability across our three key sustainability priorities within our Planet Pillar: minimizing our carbon footprint, rethinking our packaging, and improving the sustainability of our locations. These priority areas are further supported by cross-functional working groups. Site energy and sustainability teams were designated at major Zoetis sites to lead localized sustainability actions and their activities include determining emission reduction initiatives. Our Global Manufacturing and Supply leadership team reviews progress on our carbon neutral strategy and sustainability capital program on a quarterly basis. This capital review includes all projects with an energy and emission reduction benefit. To incentives progress we set internal targets annually towards longer term 2030 objectives. Additionally in 2024 we are piloting the use of an internal price on carbon as part of the capital management program within GMS.
[Add row]

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Zoetis controlled flow meters are used to measure the quantity of water withdrawn

(9.2.4) Please explain

Majority of water withdrawals at Zoetis are measured. However, at our small sites with low water usage intensity, where we do not have operational control of our water utility, the water withdrawal is estimated based on number of personnel in the facility.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Zoetis controlled flow meters are used to measure the quantity of water withdrawn

(9.2.4) Please explain

Zoetis withdraws water primarily from public city suppliers or onsite ground wells. In these locations, estimates are made based on number of personnel at the facility. For water withdrawn from onsite wells or from local surface water bodies, Zoetis uses controlled flow meters to measure the quantity of water withdrawn.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Total volumes of discharge are measured by Zoetis controlled line flow meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

Zoetis records discharges at all primary manufacturing sites and R&D facilities. At smaller manufacturing facilities (with very low water usage intensity) and logistics centers, water use is primarily for sanitary purposes. In these locations, water discharge is not directly measured.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Total volumes of discharge are measured by Zoetis controlled line flow meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

Zoetis records discharges at all primary manufacturing sites and R&D facilities. At smaller manufacturing facilities (with very low water usage intensity) and logistics centers, water use is primarily for sanitary purposes. In these locations, water discharge is not directly measured.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

This water aspect is not being monitored.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

The total consumption volume is measured by subtracting discharge volumes from the withdrawal volumes.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Zoetis records discharges at all primary manufacturing sites and R&D facilities. At smaller manufacturing facilities (with very low water usage intensity) and logistics centers, water use is primarily for sanitary purposes. In these locations, water discharge is not directly measured.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Recycled/reused water is measured using Zoetis controlled tank level gauges and flowmeters where available. Data is estimated using engineering best practices where in line measuring instruments are not in place.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water recycle/reuse is recorded monthly at all of our manufacturing and R&D facilities. At present, 6 of our facilities are actively recycling water.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

*This water aspect is not being monitored.
[Fixed row]*

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2386.43

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

- About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Zoetis water withdrawals remained similar to the previous year with a +/- 5% change.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1776.63

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Water discharges increased slightly in 2024 but remained similar to our previously reported discharge volumes.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

609.8

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

*Based on operational variability which saw increases in water intake and water discharge, overall Zoetis consumed less water.
[Fixed row]*

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

497.34

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

20.84

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

- WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

In 2024, we expanded our measurement of locations in areas of high water stress to include offices and logistics centers. The impact of adding additional sites to our footprint is negligible. The primary driver for changes in quantity of water used in areas of high-water stress is the MFA divestiture which saw two of our largest water users removed from our operating boundary

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

185.34

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This source is relevant to Zoetis, however overall withdrawal is low. It is a very small percentage overall of Zoetis's entire water withdrawal. Water withdrawal is from a local river at Rathdrum site.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2024, brackish surface water / seawater was not used by Zoetis.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

12.44

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Much lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Other, please specify :improvements to our water source tracking technology

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

The primary reason for the change is a refinement of the data collection method which enabled us to more accurately identify and report renewable and non renewable groundwater

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

- Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

28.34

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

2024 was the first year of monitoring and reporting non-renewable ground water for Zoetis.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2024, produced/entrained water was not used by Zoetis.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2160.31

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

In 2024, the overall amount decreased as a result of changes to business activity.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

328.24

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

In 2024, business activity remained the same for fresh surface water discharge.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Brackish surface/seawater was not relevant in 2024 because we didn't discharge to brackish surface water.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Groundwater was not relevant in 2024 because we didn't discharge groundwater.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1448.39

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

In 2024, business activity increased for third party reserves.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

- Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

20

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

- 1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

In 2024, the number of facilities that are located in areas of high water stress increased to 20 sites because we expanded the water stress analysis to include offices and small Zoetis owned logistics centers, which were not included on 2023. The increase resulting from the inclusion of these additional small sites is negligible.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

- No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

Zoetis intends to collect geographical coordinate information for our suppliers and use the WRI resource to determine the water stress score. This will be done in a phased approach, focusing on largest and most strategically important suppliers first.
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Buellton

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Santa Ynez River Valley Groundwater Basin

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

34.62152

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-120.18504

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

2.12

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

2.12

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

2.12

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.12

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Catania

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Afghanistan

Other, please specify :Mediterranean Sea Islands Basin

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

37.507873

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

15.08303

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

232.25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

232.25

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

151.6

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

151.6

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

80.65

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Irving LC

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :St. Illinois

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.862432

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-97.04424

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.25

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.25

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.25

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Durham

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico, North Atlantic Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

35.87675

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-78.844348

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.75

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

1.75

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.75

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Louvain La Neuve

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

Other, please specify :Scheldt

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

50.66829

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

4.61443

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

109.29

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

109.29

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

90.7

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

90.7

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

18.59

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Australia

- Other, please specify :Australia East Coast Basin

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

-37.813628

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

144.963058

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

13.15

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

13.15

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.84

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.84

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.31

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 9

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Pharmaq Fordingbridge

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :United States, North Atlantic Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

50.93356

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-1.822804

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.05

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.05

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.05

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.05

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 11

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

San Diego

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :California

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.014574

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.092899

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.75

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

1.75

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

1.76

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

1.76

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 12

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Suzhou Bios

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

- Other, please specify :China Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.30408

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

120.59538

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

133.18

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

133.18

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

78.57

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

78.57

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

54.61

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 11

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 14

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

VMRD Fort Collins

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

- Other, please specify :Mississippi - Missouri

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

40.58526

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-105.0844

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.72

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.72

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.72

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.72

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 12

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Bangkok (Park Silom) Office

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Cambodia

Chao Phraya

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

13.727917

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

100.533688

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.19

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.19

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.19

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.19

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 14

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Berlin office

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Austria

Elbe River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

52.520007

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

13.404954

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.47

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.47

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.47

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.47

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 15

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Reno LC

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Great Basin

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.44951

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-119.74863

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.25

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.25

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.25

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 17

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 15

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

ZRL (US) Denver Lab

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Mississippi - Missouri

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.764392

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-105.01956

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.4

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.4

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.4

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 18

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 16

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

ZRL (US) Irving

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Gulf Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.862432

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-97.04424

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.64

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.64

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.64

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.64

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 19

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 16

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

ZRL (US) San Diego Lab (Nancy Ridge)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :California

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.824633

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.437404

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.41

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.41

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.41

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.41

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 20

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 18

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

ZRL (US) Tampa HQ

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico, North Atlantic

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.992268

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-82.61909

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.02

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.02

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.02

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.02

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 21

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 19

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

- Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico, North Atlantic

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

27.992268

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-82.61909

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.01

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.01

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.01

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.01

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 22

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 20

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

ZRL (US) Waukesha

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

- Other, please specify :Mississippi - Missouri

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

42.998858

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-88.321757

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.27

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.27

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.27

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.27

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

Row 23

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 13

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

VMRD Beijing

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Other, please specify :Ziya He Interior

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.938944

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

116.067819

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.18

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.18

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.09

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.09

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.09

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Zoetis used the Water Stress Indicator from WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas to determine how many manufacturing and R&D facilities were located in high or extremely high risk areas. To be considered high risk areas the baseline water stress was equal to or exceeded 40%, or baseline water depletion equaled to or exceeded 50%.

[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

This aspect has not undergone third-party verification.

[Fixed row]

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	8901000000	3729839.13	Zoetis anticipates the forward trend to remain about the same.

[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

(9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances

Select from:

Unknown

(9.13.2) Comment

Some of our products contain active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) which are the primary ingredients in our veterinary medicines. APIs and veterinary medicines are reviewed for potential hazards and risks by medicines Authorities/Agencies. In addition, some of our veterinary products may also contain excipients or other

additives. The potential hazards and risks of incorporating these substances into veterinary medicines are also reviewed by medicines Authorities/Agencies. At this time, Zoetis has not identified the exact regulatory classification of the hazardous substances in our product per classification type per country. Therefore, we are unable to provide a breakdown of the revenue associated with these products.

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

Other, please specify :We are unable to classify any products or services as low water impact

(9.14.4) Please explain

We are in the early stages of understanding water aspects that could have significant environmental, social and financial implications. Therefore, we cannot classify anything as low-water impact yet. As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater, and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We aim to better understand where water scarcity or issues related to clean water access may present significant business challenges in both our direct operations and supply chain.

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

- Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

As an animal health company, being good stewards of the environment is important to us, the communities where we operate and our customers. We recognize that some of our manufacturing processes can be water and resource intensive. Therefore, we are focused on making our operations more efficient, identifying opportunities to recycle and repurpose our wastewater and reducing the amount of and responsibly managing waste. We aim to better understand where water scarcity or issues related to clean water access may present significant business challenges in both our direct operations and supply chain. With this information, we will be better equipped to make important decisions that could have significant environmental, social and financial implications, including setting and monitoring water-related targets and/or goals.

[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (partial assessment)	No comment
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
Ramsar sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes (partial assessment)	N/A
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	N/A

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party	Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure	<i>We are waiting for more mature verification standards and/or processes.</i>

[Fixed row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(13.2.1) Additional information

Additional information can be found in the following documents: 1) Zoetis 2024 Sustainability Progress Update: <https://www.zoetis.com/our-company/corporate-sustainability/sustainability-report-center> 2) Zoetis 2024 Annual Report: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate/zoetis-2024-annual-report.pdf 3) Zoetis Notice of 2025 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement: https://s203.q4cdn.com/620628704/files/doc_downloads/2025/Zoetis-2025-Proxy-Statement.pdf 4) Corporate Governance Committee Charter: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/2024/zoetis-corporate-governance-and-sustainability-committee-charter.pdf 5) Zoetis Global Human Rights Policy: <https://www.zoetis.com/our-company/policies-and-procedures/global-human-rights-policy> 6) Zoetis Supplier Conduct Principles: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/2024/supplier-conduct-principles/supplier_conduct_principles.pdf 7) Quality and Innovation Committee Charter: https://www.zoetis.com/_assets/pdf/corporate-governance/2024/zoetis-charter-of-the-quality-and-innovation-committee.pdf

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Executive Vice President, Corporate Affairs and Chief Sustainability Officer

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute

